Overview and Scrutiny Friday 6th January 2012

Management Options Appraisal Sport, Cultural and Library Services

Steve Howell
Head of Sport and Leisure



Purpose of Presentation

 To give Members an overview of the issues and process involved in considering establishing a 'Trust'.



Background

MTFP requirements (£865,897)



Delivery Models

- Direct Delivery
- Private Sector Operator
 - Trust (NPDO)



Management Options

- 1. In house or status quo
- 2. Outsourcing to private contractor with or without hybrid trust.
- 3. Outsourcing to existing non profit distributing organisation ("NPDO")
- 4. Newly created local NPDO with some facilities and services
- 5. Newly created local NPDO of entire portfolio
- 6. Social enterprise (community interest company)
- 7. Mixed economy



Key criteria for way forward:

- 1. Offer the most economically advantageous option
- 2. Provides a sustainable, robust business model(s);
- 3. Provide the potential to secure external resources;
- 4. Improve community involvement in services;
- 5. Deliver the priorities and objectives through continuing involvement in service delivery;
- 6. Sustain and where possible improve upon the quality of the services.

Criteria 1: Economically Advantageous

- Charitable Status NNDR and VAT
- Overheads and margins
- Operating efficiency



Criteria 2: Sustainability



Profit





Criteria 3: Attract Funding

External Capital Funding

Private investment

Revenue Funders



Criteria 4: Community Involvement

Friends groups

Board representation

Contractual relationship



Criteria 5: Deliver Strategic Objectives

Strategic Influence

- Landlord/tenant relationship
- Grant/contract funding
- Relationship Management
- Representation on boards

Board representation

- act as the guardians of the organisation;
- make decisions about policy and strategy;
- act as the final point of accountability;
- · monitor, supervise and control the organisation.



Criteria 6: Improve Quality

- Re-investment capacity
- Greater service focus
- Service Synergy
- Integrated and branded services



Initial Conclusions

- Trust (NPDO) = preferred option
- ✓ Achieves MTFP savings
- ✓ Retains strategic influence
- Further considerations
 - Scope of services to be included
 - Existing or created NPDO



Other Considerations

- Service scope
- Procurement
- Staff Issues
- Corporate Issues
- Existing Arrangements



Process

MOA

- Models
- Services
- Pros & Cons

In-principle Decision (Cabinet)

- Delivery Plan
- Actual Cost
- Staff
 Implications
- Board

Decision to Transfer (Cabinet)

- Property Issues
- Establish Company

Transfer



Draft Timetable

Milestone/Decision	Target Date	Approval
In principle decision to embark upon the NPDO project.	January 2012	Cabinet
Commencement of Market Testing and Procurement	January 2012	
Preparation of Service Delivery Plan	January to June 2012	
Negotiations on documentation and grant- funding arrangements	February to June 2012	
Negotiations on service level agreements	February to June 2012	
Decision to transfer	July 2012	Cabinet
Staff consultations and LGPS matters	January 2012 onwards	
NPDO charitable registration	August 2012	
Completion	October 2012	







